



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) EP 0 961 209 A1

(12) EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:

01.12.1999 Bulletin 1999/48

(51) Int. Cl.⁶: G06F 17/30

(21) Application number: 98401267.4

(22) Date of filing: 27.05.1998

(84) Designated Contracting States:

AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE

Designated Extension States:

AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventors:

- Pachet, François
75005 Paris (FR)
- Roy, Pierre
75005 Paris (FR)

(71) Applicant: Sony France S.A.

75831 Paris Cedex 17 (FR)

(74) Representative:

Thévenet, Jean-Bruno et al
Cabinet Beau de Loménie
158, rue de l'Université
75340 Paris Cédex 07 (FR)

(54) Sequence generation using a constraint satisfaction problem formulation

(57) A method and system for automatic generation of sequences (notably temporal or spatial sequences) from items in a database involves the organisation of the data in a generic format, and the formulation of the problem as a Constraint Satisfaction Problem using special constraint classes: The database format includes attribute values referring to a predetermined taxonomy allowing similarity and difference between the respective values to be evaluated. The special constraint classes include the classes of cardinality constraints (which allow it to be specified that the number of

items whose attribute belongs to a given set is within a particular range and/or that the number of values of an attribute is within a specified range), similarity or dissimilarity constraints (which allow it to be specified that successive items must be similar or different from each other) and global difference constraints (which allow it to be specified that the respective values of a given attribute for all items in a range must be different from each other).

FIGURE 1

Position in Sequence	Title	Author	Style (Family + SubFamily)	Duration	Tempo	Type of Voice	InstrumentType (1,2)
1 st	In my life	Souled Out	SoulJazz	256 sec	fast slow	L	synthesizer, keyboard
2 nd	Alma de tu flor	Ruben Blades	LatinoJazz	241 sec	fast slow	D	percussion, accordion
3 rd	Boriqua's anthem	C&C Music Factory	WorldLatino	275 sec	fast	E	percussion, brass
4 th	Estoy aqui	Shakira	WorldSoul	230 sec	fast	I	guitarAcoustic, strings
5 th	Take it easy	Mad Lion	WorldReggae	273 sec	fast slow	B	rhythmbox, piano
6 th	Shine	Aswad	WorldReggae	228 sec	fast	M	keyboard, brass
7 th	Brown-eyed girl	Steel Pulse	WorldReggae	226 sec	fast	M	keyboard, brass
8 th	Garota Nacional	Skank	WorldReggae	243 sec	fast slow	E	piano, brass
9 th	Call me up	Simone Hines	SoulCrooner	289 sec	fast slow	C	bassFunk keyboard
10 th	Are you Jimmy Ray	Jimmy Ray	PopSoul	209 sec	fast	C	guitarJazz, bassFunk
11 th	Low down	Boz Scaggs	PopSoul	318 sec	fast slow	A	flute, bassFunk
12 th	Love so strong	Secret Life	SoulCrooner	270 sec	fast	C	guitarFunk keyboard

Description

[0001] The present invention relates in general to the field of the generation of sequences and, in particular, the generation of sequences of items from a database such that the relationship between the attributes of the component items in the sequence is controlled.

[0002] It is to be understood that in the present description the term "database" is used to designate my collection of data, for example covering both pre-stored data and data which is dynamically collected.

[0003] There are many situations in which it is necessary or desirable to create a sequence of items from a collection of items for which data is available, and it is important that the created sequence should be "coherent", that is, that there should be a particular relationship between attributes of the component items in the sequence (typically involving a criterion that the attributes of the component items of the sequence should not be too dissimilar, especially for successive items in the sequence). The sequences in question include temporal sequences and spatial sequences. A typical case where this problem arises is in the field of multimedia and, notably, the problem of automatic generation of recitals (such a recital is an example of a temporal sequence). Here the term "recital" is used not only to designate a sequence of musical pieces but, more generally, any temporal sequence of multimedia items (film clips, documentaries, text items, etc.). An example of a problem involving a spatial sequence concerns the designing of a thematically-linked layout of paintings for rooms in an art gallery.

[0004] It has been proposed in the paper "Représentation de séquences définies sur des ensembles non instanciés par arbre PQR partiel" by Berkaoui et al (Journées Francophones de Programmation Logique par Contraintes, 1998] to use a Constraint Satisfaction approach for solving scheduling problems. However, the approach proposed in this paper does not consider the "coherence" of the sequence of items which is produced, notably it does not enable the similarity or dissimilarity of items making up the sequence to be controlled.

[0005] The present invention provides a system and method which produces "coherent" sequences of items in a particular order. The items are, generally, stored in a database and described in terms of data pairs each consisting of an attribute and the corresponding value. The problem of creating the desired sequence is treated as a Constraint Satisfaction Problem (CSP). The sequence to be obtained is specified by formulating a collection of constraints holding on items in the database, each constraint describing a particular property of the sequence. The sequence can be specified by any number of constraints.

[0006] According to the present invention, the items in the database exhibit a particular generic format with associated taxonomies for at least some of the attribute values. Also, the constraints are specified out of a predetermined library of generic constraint classes which have been specially formulated. The special constraint classes used in the present invention allow the expression of desired properties of the target sequence, notably properties of similarity between groups of items, properties of dissimilarity, and properties of cardinality. These constraint classes enable the properties of coherent sequences to be expressed in a particularly simple manner.

[0007] It is the combination of the use of a generic format for items in the database and the special constraint classes which enables the preferred embodiments of the present invention to use CSP solution techniques to solve the difficult combinatorial problem of building an ordered collection of elements satisfying a number of constraints.

[0008] Further features and advantages of the present invention will become clear from the following detailed description of a preferred embodiment thereof, given by way of example, and illustrated in the accompanying drawing.

[0009] The method and system according to the present invention will now be described in detail by reference to a preferred embodiment in which the invention is applied to the automatic composition of musical recitals (that is, musical programs, for example for radio, set-top boxes, etc.). It is to be understood that the present invention is not limited to this application alone.

[0010] The following description of the preferred embodiment of the invention will begin with an explanation of the preferred database format, an indication of how the problem is modelled as a CSP, and a discussion of the special constraint classes used in modelling the recital-composition problem as a CSP, before explaining in detail how the problem can be formulated and solved using constraints in the special constraint classes.

The database

[0011] Each item is described by a collection of attribute/value pairs. In this example, concerning musical recitals, a item describes a musical work and may include the following attributes:

- index (unique identifier of the piece)
- title
- author
- duration
- musical style

- type of leading instrument
- type of orchestration
- etc.

5 [0012] The values of these attributes may be either discrete, i.e. taken in a fixed predetermined set of possible values (for instance, the type of voice or musical style), or continuous (for instance, the duration). The possible values for certain parameters may require special knowledge (e.g. the taxonomy of musical styles) and so are not discussed here.

[0013] "Aggregate" or "composite" parameters can also be taken into account, that is, parameters which are a composition of other, more basic parameters. This is a simple extension of the initial principle, and allows constraints to be
10 set on any combination of parameters. For instance, in this example, the database may include the two parameters "Family" and "SubFamily", which together make up the notion of "style" (e.g. one particular style may be the combination "Pop" + "Rock").

[0014] Database items are denoted p_i , where i is the index of the item in the database. The attributes are denoted p_{aj} , where j is the index of the attribute. J is the set of attribute indices. Usually the first attribute is the index of the item
15 itself.

Formulation of the CSP

[0015] According to the present invention, collections (notably temporal or spatial sequences) of items can be built by
20 modelling the problem as a CSP and resolving it via constraint satisfaction programming techniques. This is done by representing the collection as a series of constrained variables. Each variable has a domain consisting of the whole set of items in the database. For instance, a sequence of 10 musical pieces is represented by 10 constrained variables v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{10} , meaning that the first item is represented by the value of v_1 , the second by the value of v_2 , etc.

[0016] The coherence of the sequence to be built is expressed by constraints holding on the variables defined above.
25 The creation of the temporal collections is preferably undertaken by a generic solver, which utilizes constraint satisfaction algorithms.

[0017] The constraints necessary to state the properties of the sequence are:

- 1) the standard, simple constraints generally capable of being handled by CSP solution techniques (and already
30 available in the generic solver), such as arithmetic constraints - for example, a constraint imposing that the total duration of the sequence should be equal to (resp. less than, resp. greater than) 1 hour is a instantiation of standard linear arithmetic constraints of the type LinearCombination (resp. LinearInequality), and
- 2) specialized constraints using the specialized constraint classes described below.

Specialized Constraint Classes

[0018] According to the preferred embodiment of the present invention, three specialized constraint classes may be defined, each class being described by a general formula:

- 40 • cardinality constraints
- similarity constraints
- dissimilarity constraints

(the similarity constraints and dissimilarity constraints may be considered to be examples of "running constraints", as
45 explained below).

CARDINALITY CONSTRAINTS

[0019] Constraints of this class allow properties to be imposed on sets of items. There are two such cardinality constraints, cardinality on items and cardinality on attribute values:

Cardinality on items:

[0020] This class of constraints allows it to be stated that the number of items whose attribute j belongs to a given set
55 E must be within $[a, b]$.

[0021] The general formulation is:

$CI(I, j, a, b, E)$ means that: $\text{card}\{i \in I \mid p_{aj} \in E\} \in [a, b]$,

where I is a set of item indices, j is an attribute index, a and b are integers and E is a subset of the possible values of

attribute j .

[0022] For instance, in the context of a recital-composition application, this constraint can be used to state that the number of pieces within a given range (i.e. the first 10 pieces), whose style is "Rock", should be comprised between, say, 4 and 6: $CI(\{1,2, \dots, 10\}, s, 4, 6, \{\text{"Rock"}\})$, where s denotes the index of the parameter corresponding to the style of music of a piece in the database..

Cardinality on attribute values:

[0023] This constraint class allows it to be stated that the number of different values for attribute j of a number of items is within $[a,b]$.

[0024] The general formulation is:

$CA(I, j, a, b)$ means that: $card\{p.a_j \mid i \in I\} \in [a,b]$,

where I is a set of item indices, j is an attribute index, a and b are integers.

[0025] For instance, in the context of a recital-composition application, this constraint can be used to state that the first three pieces should have at least two different tempo (i.e. that they should not all have the same tempo) - $CA(\{1,2,3\}, t, 3, 3)$, where t denotes the index of the parameter corresponding to the tempo in the database.

[0026] Note that cardinality on attribute values can be used to state global difference constraints. The global difference constraint $D(I,j)$, with I a set of indices, and j the index of a parameter, means that: if p_i denotes the value of variable X_i for every i in I , the following relations hold

$\forall k \in I, \forall l \in I, p_k.a_j \neq p_l.a_j$

In other words, all the variables involved have pairwise different values for attribute j . This constraint can be stated as a particular cardinality constraint:

$CA(I,j, card(I), card(I))$

RUNNING CONSTRAINTS

[0027] The following constraints are termed "running" constraints because they are systematically set between pairs of consecutive pieces. There are two classes of running constraint: similarity constraints and dissimilarity constraints.

SIMILARITY CONSTRAINTS

[0028] This class of constraints allows it to be stated that within a given range successive items are similar to each other. The similarity is defined by a binary predicate holding on one given attribute j . The general formulation is:

$S(a,b,j \text{ similar}(\dots))$ means that:

for each item $p_i, i \in [a,b-1]$, the predicate $\text{similar}(p_i, p_{i+1}, j)$ is true,

where a and b are integers representing indices, j is a attribute index, and $\text{similar}(\dots)$ is a predicate. The first two parameters of the predicate are pieces, and the third one is the index of a parameter.

[0029] For instance, in the context of a recital-composition application, this constraint class allows it to be stated that all pieces in a given contiguous range (say the first 10) should have "similar styles", where the similarity of style is defined by a predicate that associates similar styles by looking up in a predetermined classification (see the "Recital-Composer" application discussed below) : in this case the "similar" predicate is defined by:

let j be the index of the style parameter in the database

in this case, $p.a_j$ represents the style of piece p

$\text{similar}(\text{piece1}, \text{piece2}, j) := (\text{piece1}.a_j \text{ and } \text{piece2}.a_j \text{ are linked in the taxonomy of styles}).$

DISSIMILARITY CONSTRAINTS

[0030] Note that the same constraint class as that discussed above allows it to be stated that all pieces in a given contiguous range (say the first 10) should have different attributes. It suffices to define the "similar" predicate by:

$\text{similar}(x,y,j) := (x.a_j \neq y.a_j).$

Formulating and Solving the CSP Using Constraints in the Specialized Constraint Classes

[0031] The implementations of these special constraint classes do not enforce arc consistency, but ensure that the resolution is sound and complete, that is, all solutions are found, and all solutions are actual solutions, i.e. satisfy all constraints.

[0032] Note that, in all the procedures described below, if the domain of a variable is wiped out by removing values, this means that the corresponding constraint cannot be satisfied in the current state of resolution. Therefore, a failure

is raised that is handled by the solver, which triggers a backtrack in order to explore a different part of the search space. This is a classical feature of constraint satisfaction algorithms.

Implementing a "Cardinality on Item" Constraint

[0033] The constraint holds on variables X_i , with $i \in I$. It requires that there should be between a and b variables that take their values in the set E , where a and b are integers. The implementation of this constraint relies on the following procedure:

Procedure itemCardinality (I, j, a, b, E)

Integer p, q, r ;

$P := \{ X_i ; i \in I \text{ so that } \forall x \in \text{domain}(X_i), (x.a_j) \in E \}$

$Q := \{ X_i ; i \in I \text{ so that } \{x.a_j \text{ for } x \in \text{domain}(X_i) \cap E \neq \emptyset \}$

$p := \text{card}P$

$q := \text{card}Q$

if $((a > q) \text{ or } (b < p))$ then

the constraint cannot be satisfied

return a failure handled by the solver

if $(a = q)$ then

for every X element of Q do

for every x in the domain of X do

if E does not contain $x.a_j$ then

remove x from the domain of X

if $(b = p)$ then

for every X element of $Q \setminus P$ do

for every x in the domain of X do

if E contains $x.a_j$ then

remove x from the domain of X

Implementing a "Cardinality on Value" Constraint

[0034] The constraint holds on variables X_i , with $i \in I$. It requires an interval $[a, b]$. It ensures that the set of values of the variables for a given parameter j , that is, $\{ \text{value}(X_1).a_j, \dots, \text{value}(X_n).a_j \}$ contains between a and b different elements. The constraint is implemented as follows:

Procedure valueCardinality (I, j, a, b)

$A = \{ i \in I \text{ so that } X_i \text{ is instantiated} \}$

"a variable is instantiated when its domain is a singleton"

$V = \{ (\text{value}(X_i)).a_j, i \in A \}$

If (card(V) > b) then

raise a failure

If (card(V) = b) then

for every $i \in I \setminus A$ do

for every x in the domain of X_i do

if V does not contain $x.a_j$ then

remove x from the domain of X_i

if (card(I) - card(A) + card(V) < a) then

raise a failure that is handled by the solver

if (card(I) - card(A) + card(V) = a) then

state a new constraint: allDiff(A, j)

which requires that the variables that are not yet

instantiated should have different values (see below)

Implementing a "Similarity" Running Constraint

[0035] This constraint $S([a,b], j, \text{similar}(\dots))$ is implemented by setting a series of binary constraints on successive variables. The procedure for setting those constraints is the following :

For $i := a$ to $b-1$ do

Set constraint [similar(X_i, X_{i+1}, j)]

Where the constraint *similar* is defined as follows:

Procedure filterSimilar(X, Y, j)

```

5      loop 1 : for every x in the domain of X do
          boolean keep := false
          loop 2: for every y in the domain of Y do
10             if (similar (x, y, j)) then
                  keep := true ;
                  break loop 2 ;
          end loop 2
15      if (not(keep)) then
          remove x from the domain of X
      end loop 1
20      loop 1 : for every y in the domain of Y do
          boolean keep := false
          loop 2: for every x in the domain of X do
25             if (similar (x, y, j)) then
                  keep := true ;
                  break loop 2 ;
          end loop 2
30      if (not(keep)) then
          remove y from the domain of Y
      end loop 1
35

```

The expression "similar(x, y, j)" has a boolean value defined as follows : similar (x, y, j) = true if $x.a_j$ is similar to $y.a_j$, and the instruction "breakloop i" means that the loop labelled i is interrupted.

40 Adding redundant constraints to speed up the resolution of cardinality constraints

[0036] When several cardinality constraints are stated over the same set of constrained variables, it can be impossible for the solver to find a solution, or to prove that there is none, within a reasonable period of time. This is, for instance, illustrated by the following example.

45 **[0037]** Consider a set $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of constrained variables. Consider the following two cardinality constraints:

- 1) $Cl(\{1, \dots, 10\}, 1, 4, 10, ("Rock", "Country"))$ where 1 denotes the index of the family parameter, and
- 2) $Cl(\{1, \dots, 10\}, 1, 4, 10, ("Pop", "Jazz"))$ where 1 denotes the index of the family parameter.

50 **[0038]** This simple problem is hard to solve since the solver will consider each constraint individually, according to the standard model of constraint satisfaction programming. More precisely, standard solvers will instantiate the six first variables with arbitrary chosen values, regardless of the constraints. This is explained by the fact that constraint 1) will have no effects as long as there are more than four variables whose domain contains pieces whose parameter "family" (parameter 1 in our case) is either "Rock" or "Country". The same argument holds for constraint 2).

55 **[0039]** More precisely, the first six variables are instantiated with pieces whose family is "Funk". Constraint 1) will then require that the four remaining variables are instantiated with a piece whose family is either "Rock" or "Country". At the same time, the second constraint will require that the four remaining variables are instantiated with a piece whose family is either "Pop" or "Jazz". Obviously, these two requirements cannot be met simultaneously.

[0040] Of course, since ("Rock", "Country") and ("Pop", "Jazz") have no common elements, the solver should handle the constraints as soon as there remain only eight variables whose domain contains pieces whose parameter "family" is either "Rock", "Country", "Pop" or "Jazz". To overcome this issue, the system according to the preferred embodiment of the invention states additional, redundant constraints, that is, constraints which leave a solution set unchanged but which speed up the resolution of the problem. In the example above, to relevant redundant constraint to add is the following:

3) $CI(\{1, \dots, 10\}, 1, 8, 10, \{\text{"Rock"}, \text{"Country"}, \text{"Pop"}, \text{"Jazz"}\})$

More generally, once a cardinality constraint at items is stated, to following procedure is applied to create additional redundant cardinality constraints:

Let $CI(J, j, a', b', F)$ be the new cardinality constraints on items.
 For every previously-stated cardinality constraint on items $CI(I, i, a, b, E)$ do
 If $(I = j)$ then
 Integer n
 $n := \text{card}(E \cap F)$
 if $(a + a' - n > a)$ and $(a + a' - n > a')$ then
 state constraints: $CI(I \cup J, i, a + a' - n, b + b', E \cup F)$
 if $(I \supset J)$ then
 state constraint:
 $CI(I \cap J, i, a + a' - n - \text{card}(I) - \text{card}(J) + 2 \cdot \text{card}(I \cap J), b + b', E \cup F)$

Example Application - RecitalComposer

[0041] In an application of the present invention to the generation of musical recitals (that is, sequences of musical pieces), the items in a sample database of Sony Music musical pieces were described using the following attributes:

- index: an integer
- title: a string of characters
- author: a string of characters
- Family: a family within a predetermined list of families (see below)
- subFamily: a family within a predetermined list of sub-families (see below)
- duration: an integer
- rhythmType: a type of rhythm from within the taxonomy of possible rhythm types
- tempo: a type of tempo from within the taxonomy of possible tempos
- instrumentType1: a type of instrument from within the taxonomy of possible instrument types
- instrumentType2: a type of instrument from within the taxonomy of possible instrument types
- voiceType: a type of voice from within the taxonomy of possible voice types

[0042] The Family and sub-Family in this application were described using a predetermined *taxonomy of musical styles* which linked them together according to stylistic similarities. This was done by introducing an aggregated attribute, called "style", whose value is the combination of "Family" and "subFamily". For instance, the style "Jazz-Swing", is the combination of the Family "Jazz" with the subFamily "Swing".

[0043] This taxonomy links styles together. For instance, the style "Jazz-Swing" is related in this taxonomy to the style "Jazz-Crooner", the style "Jazz-Crooner" is related to the style "Country-Crooner", and so on. This taxonomy naturally yields a predicate $\text{similar}(x, y)$, where x and y denote styles.

[0044] In the preferred implementation of the present invention for this application, the automatic recital composer was embodied using a general purpose computer, suitably programmed, and the user was presented with a visual dis-

play on a monitor allowing him to specify constraint sets graphically. Solutions could be computed for a arbitrary database and the solution was displayed in a specific window on the monitor display.

[0045] The problem in this example was to automatically generate a sequence of musical pieces, selected in the database, to form a recital which could be entitled "A gentle path through soul music", and meeting the following criteria:

- the sequence should contain 12 pieces (to fit on a CD or Minidisc)
- the sequence should be continuous stylistically: each piece belonging to a style "similar" to the style of the preceding piece - this similarity being given by the underlying classification of styles
- start by a "Soul-Jazz" piece and end by a "Soul-Crooner" piece but not going directly from "Soul-Jazz" to "Soul-Crooner" (so that the system was forced to find a more interesting path)
- preferably start rather slowly (avoiding very fast tempos at the beginning) and end rather quickly (avoiding very slow tempos at the end)
- evolving continuously tempo-wise: each piece having a tempo which is similar to the tempo of the preceding piece - this similarity is given by the underlying hierarchical relation in the classification of tempos
- all pieces should be different (this is usual for a program of this kind of duration)
- all authors should be different.

[0046] The above requirements for the musical sequence may be faithfully represented by formulating a constraint satisfaction problem having the following constraints:

- simple unary constraint on the first and last pieces to set the imposed styles
- a running constraint of similarity of styles
- simple running incompatible Value constraint on styles
- simple unary constraint on tempo for the first and last pieces
- running similarity constraint on tempos
- global difference constraint on pieces (index attribute)
- global difference constraint on authors.

[0047] More technically, those constraints are stated as follows:

- $CI(\{1\}, \text{style}, 1, 1, \{\text{"Soul-Jazz"}\})$
- $CI(\{1\}, \text{style}, 1, 1, \{\text{"Soul-Crooner"}\})$
- $S([1, 12], \text{style}, \text{similar}(\dots))$
- $S([1, 12], \text{style}, \text{different}(\dots))$
- $CI(\{1\}, \text{tempo}, 0, 0, \{\text{"fast, very fast"}\})$
- $CI(\{1\}, \text{tempo}, 0, 0, \{\text{"slow, very slow"}\})$
- $S([1, 12], \text{tempo}, \text{similar}(\dots))$
- $allDiff([1, 12], \text{index})$
- $allDiff([1, 12], \text{authors})$

Note that for ease of comprehension the parameters have been denoted by their names rather than by their index.

[0048] The result of application of the present invention to the above problem was to generate the sequence of musical pieces represented in Figure 1. In the table of Figure 1, the titles, authors, styles, durations, tempos, voice types and Instrument types (1 and 2) of the generated sequence of musical pieces are indicated.

[0049] It will be seen that application of the method of the present invention to a particular problem of automatic recital composition gave rise to a solution which met the specified design criteria.

[0050] The technique described above for automatic generation of recitals can, for example, be implemented in a module for incorporation into music-playing devices such as those having means for interacting arbitrarily with any of a plurality of recording media, such as a CD player adapted to hold a plurality of CDs.

[0051] Although the present invention has been described with reference to a particular preferred embodiment thereof, it is to be understood that numerous modifications and variations can be made in the described approach, without departing from the invention as defined in the appended claims.

Claims

1. A method of generating sequencing information representing a sequence made from a set of items selected in a database, comprising the steps of :

- a) providing a database having therein data representative of the attributes of a plurality of items;
- b) specifying desired features of the sequence in terms of requirements on values and/or variation in values of attributes of items in the desired sequence;
- c) modelling the task of generating the desired sequence as a Constraint Satisfaction Problem, the respective variables of the problem corresponding to the items in the desired sequence, and the respective constraints of the problem corresponding to the desired features specified in the specifying step; and
- d) applying constraint satisfaction programming techniques whereby to solve the modelled Constraint Satisfaction Problem.

2. The method according to claim 1, wherein:

the database-providing step comprises providing a database in which each item has at least one attribute for which a predetermined taxonomy is provided in association with the database, the predetermined taxonomy defining similarity and difference between different values of said attribute, and the modelling step comprises generating at least one constraint requiring similarity or dissimilarity of an attribute between different items in the desired sequence.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the modelling step comprises generating at least one constraint requiring similarity or dissimilarity of a attribute between successive items of a sub-set of contiguous items in the desired sequence.

4. The method of claim 2, wherein the modelling step comprises generating at least one constraint requiring similarity or dissimilarity of an attribute between all items in the desired sequence.

5. The method according to my previous claim, wherein:

the modelling step comprises generating at least one constraint requiring that, in the desired sequence, the number of items whose attribute (j) takes a value belonging to a given set (E) must be within a specified range (a,b).

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the modelling step comprises generating at least one constraint requiring that, in a sub-set of contiguous items in the desired sequence, the number of items whose attribute (j) takes a value belonging to a given set (E) must be within a specified range (a,b).

7. The method according to any previous claim, wherein:

the modelling step comprises generating at least one constraint requiring that, in the desired sequence, the number of different values for a attribute (j) of a number of items must be within a specified range (a,b).

8. The method of claim 7, wherein the modelling step comprises generating at least one constraint requiring that, in a sub-set of contiguous items in the desired sequence, the number of different values for a attribute (j) of the items of the sub-set must be within a specified range (a,b).

9. An automatic recital-generation method, comprising the method of any previous claim for generating sequencing information representing a sequence made from a set of musical pieces selected in a database, wherein the database-providing step comprises the providing of a database of musical pieces.

10. A system adapted to implement the method of my of claims 1 to 9, comprising a general-purpose computer and a monitor for display of the generated sequencing information.

FIGURE 1

Position in Sequence	Title	Author	Style (Family + SubFamily)	Duration	Tempo	Type of Voice	Instrument Type (1,2)
1 st	In my life	Souled Out	SoulJazz	256 sec	fast slow	L	synthesiser, keyboard
2 nd	Alma de tu flor	Ruben Blades	LatinoJazz	241 sec	fast slow	D	percussion, accordion
3 rd	Boriqua's anthem	C&C Music Factory	WorldLatino	275 sec	fast	E	percussion, brass
4 th	Estoy aqui	Shakira	WorldSoleil	230 sec	fast	I	guitarAcoustic, strings
5 th	Take it easy	Mad Lion	WorldReggae	273 sec	fast slow	B	rhythmbox, piano
6 th	Shine	Aswad	WorldReggae	228 sec	fast	M	keyboard, brass
7 th	Brown-eyed girl	Steel Pulse	WorldReggae	226 sec	fast	M	keyboard, brass
8 th	Garota Nacional	Skank	WorldReggae	243 sec	fast slow	E	piano, brass
9 th	Call me up	Simone Hines	SoulCrooner	289 sec	fast slow	C	bassFunk keyboard
10 th	Are you Jimmy Ray	Jimmy Ray	PopSoul	209 sec	fast	C	guitarJazz, bassFunk
11 th	Low down	Boz Scaggs	PopSoul	318 sec	fast slow	A	flute, bassFunk
12 th	Love so strong	Secret Life	SoulCrooner	270 sec	fast	C	guitarFunk keyboard



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 98 40 1267

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
X	PU P ET AL: "Assembly planning using case adaptation methods" PROCEEDINGS OF 1995 IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION (CAT. NO.95CH3461-1), PROCEEDINGS OF 1995 IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION, NAGOYA, JAPAN, 21-27 MAY 1995, pages 982-987 vol.1, XP002091213 ISBN 0-7803-1965-6, 1995, New York, NY, USA, IEEE, -USA * the whole document *	1	G06F17/30
X	PURVIS L ET AL: "Adaptation using constraint satisfaction techniques" CASE-BASED REASONING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. FIRST INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE, ICCBR-95. PROCEEDINGS, CASE-BASED REASONING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. FIRST INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE, ICCBR-95. PROCEEDINGS, SESIMBRA, PORTUGAL, 23-26 OCT. 1995, pages 289-300, XP002091214 ISBN 3-540-60598-3, 1995, Berlin, Germany. Springer-Verlag. Germany * paragraph 4 *	1	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6) G06F
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 26 January 1999	Examiner Katerbau, R
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

EPO FORM 1503 03 82 (P04C01)

FIGURE 1

Position in Sequence	Title	Author	Style (Family + SubFamily)	Duration	Tempo	Type of Voice	Instrument Type (1,2)
1 st	In my life	Souled Out	SoulJazz	256 sec	fast slow	L	synthesiser, keyboard
2 nd	Alma do to flow	Ruben Blades	LatinJazz	241 sec	fast slow	D	percussion, accordion
3 rd	Boniqua's anthem	C&C Music Factory	WorldLatin	275 sec	fast	E	percussion, brass
4 th	Being aqui	Shakira	WorldSoul	230 sec	fast	I	guitar/Acoustic, strings
5 th	Take it easy	Mad Lion	WorldReggae	273 sec	fast slow	B	rhythmbox, piano
6 th	Shine	Aswad	WorldReggae	228 sec	fast	M	keyboard, brass
7 th	Brown-eyed girl	Steel Pulse	WorldReggae	226 sec	fast	M	keyboard, brass
8 th	Garota Nacional	Skunk	WorldReggae	243 sec	fast slow	E	piano, brass
9 th	Call me up	Simone Hines	SoulCrooner	289 sec	fast slow	C	harp/funk keyboard
10 th	Are you Jimmy Ray	Jimmy Ray	PopSoul	209 sec	fast	C	guitar/Jazz, bass/funk
11 th	Low down	Boyz n the City	PopSoul	318 sec	fast slow	A	flute, bass/funk
12 th	Love so strong	Secret Life	SoulCrooner	270 sec	fast	C	guitar/funk keyboard